

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/669,854	09/27/2000	lkuyo Ikeda	196466US 2	6529
22850 7	590 04/12/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TRAN, QUOC A	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	_
		DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

IKEDA, IKUYO		

Advisory Action	09/669,854	IKEDA, IKUYO				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quoc A. Tran	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 -as-set forth in (b)-			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(a) ☐ They raise flew issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below),  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or			, the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· -	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, or b) will will will below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary			
<ul><li>9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing</li></ul>	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief.	. will not be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. A The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	· allowance			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other:						
		SANJIV SHAH				

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Applicant's arguments filed 03/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments on pages 2-6, that Patel in further view of Lee did not teach or suggested the features of the printer diver performing editing processes on image data. The Office respectfully disagrees; the reason for rejection is set forth in the previous rejection and re-enforcing below:

Patel disclosed, an object-oriented printing system includes objects that provide query, data transfer, and control methods. The inventive object-oriented printing system communicates with the remainder of the operating system by means of a standard interface such as a grafport and printer drivers are provided for each printer type within the operating system, as taught at the Abstract and further illustrated in FIG. 1, sheet I of 12, a schematic illustration of a typical computer system utilizing both an application program and an operating system. The computer system is schematically represented by dotted box 100, the application program is represented by box 102 and the operating system by box 106. The interaction between the application program 102 and the operating system 106 is illustrated schematically by arrow 104. This dual program system is used on many types of computers systems ranging from mainframes to personal computers.

Lee disclosed, A Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) printer and printing method. The printer includes a user interface that allows a user, through a display, to select a fax note, which is stored in memory; insert/remove fax headers, set margins, and input fax transmission instructions. The printer includes a memory that stores fax notes fax header insertion/removal instructions, and fax note margin instructions, as taught at the Abstract and further illustrated at col. 2, lines 25-55, wherein a printing method featured a user interface through the display, controlling and editing process, as taught at col. 2, lines 25-55. In ordered for the printer and printing features work together; it would of been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to understand that a printer driver has to be embedded with the system in order to control all the editing and processing features.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Lee' teaching into Patel's to provide a way, wherein displaying an original document on a screen as a preview of printing; receiving, at least one of a text, an image, and a graphic to be inserted into an image of the displayed original document from a user; receiving a position specified by the user on the displayed image on the screen so as to form a space for inserting the at least one of the text, image, and graphic; inserting the at least one of the text, image, and graphic into the formed space; and displaying a combined image on the screen. One of the ordinary skills in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide the printing system includes objects that provide queries for device identification, optimized imaging, printer status, data transfer, and control methods such as a grafport and printer drivers are provided for each printer type within the operating system. Thus, an application not only need not worry about the particular printer/computer combination with which it is to operate, but also need not have a built in document formatting capability, as taught by '278 at Abstract (i.e... An object-oriented printing system includes objects that provide query, data transfer, and control methods...).

Therefore claims 15-24, remain rejected.